Approved for use through 09/30/98. OMB 0651-0032 and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE eduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

101	· (d	Under the Pa
701	K.	
O VON	2000 S	FEI
TRADE	MARY OFFE	
MADE	HHALL	

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

# **E TRANSMITTAL**

(\$) 0

Com	plete if Known	
Application Number	09/654,093	
Filing Date	August 31, 2000	
First Named Inventor	Thakur	
Group Art Unit		
Examiner Name		-
Attorney Docket Number	94-0302 02	

1.   Society   The Commissioner's herethy authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:   Deposit	METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)				FEE CALCULATION (continued)							
Deposit   Account   13-3092, Order No. 94-0302.02	1. 🛛				3. ADDITIONAL FEES							
Account   13-3092, Order No. 94-0302 02   Code   (5)   Code   (5)   Fee Description   Fee Paid		and credit any	over paym	ments to:			Large	Entity	Small	Entity		
Number		13-3092 Om	der No. 9	4-0302 02							Eas Decariation	Ean Daid
Account   Micron Technology, Inc.   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130   139   130		10 0002, 010	301 140. 3	34-0302.02							•	ree raiu
Name	Deposit										Surcharge - late provisional filing	
Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37	Account	Micron Tech	nology, Ir	ıc.			139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
CFR 1.18 at the Mailing of the Notice of Allowance, 37 CFR 1.3 at the Mailing of the CFR 1.16 and 1.17	_			_			147	2,520	147	2,520		<del></del>
1.31(b)   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.5   1.84   1.85   1.84   1.85   1.84   1.85   1.84   1.85   1.84   1.85   1.84   1.85   1.84   1.85   1.84   1.85   1.84   1.85   1.84   1.85   1.84   1.85   1.	Fee Re	quired Under 37		CFR 1.18 at	the Mailing	of the	112	920*	112	920°		
Check					owance, 37		113	1,840*	113	1,840*		
FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/96)	2.				1 045		115	110	215	55		
Tell No   Fee		☐ Cneck	∐ Mor	ney Order	] Otner		116	380	216	200		<del></del>
The content of the	F	EE CALCUL	ATION (	fees effective	10/01/9	6)	117	870	217	475		
Fee   Fee   Code   C	1. FILI	NG FEE					118	1360	218	755		
Code   (\$)   Code   (\$)   Code   (\$)	Large Entity	Small	Entity				119	300	219	155	Notice of Appeal	
106   310   206   165   Design fling fee   138   1,510   138   1,510   Detition to institute a public use proceeding   140   110   240   55   Detition to revive unavoidably abandoned application   141   150   214   75   Provisional fling fee   141   1210   241   660   Detition to revive unavoidably abandoned application   141   1210   242   660   Utility issue fee   143   430   243   225   Design issue fee   144   580   244   335   Pattison to revive unintentionally abandoned application   143   430   243   225   Design issue fee   144   580   244   335   Pattison to the Commissioner   144   580   244   335   Pattison to the Commissioner   145   Pattison to the Commissioner   146   127   130   122   130   Pattison to the Commissioner   128   130   122   130   Pattison to the Commissioner   128   130   122   130   Pattison to the Commissioner   128   130   130				Fee Descriptio	n	Fee Paid	120	300	220	155		
107   480   207   270   270   Plant filing fee   140   110   240   55   Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application   114   150   214   75   Provisional filing fee   141   1210   241   660   Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application   143   430   243   225   Design issue fee   142   1210   242   660   Utility issue fee   143   430   243   225   Design issue fee   144   580   244   335   Plant issue fee   145   Plant issue fee   146   580   126   240   126   240   Disclosure Simt   126   240   Disclosure Simt   128   Plant issue fee   148   Plant issue fee   Plant issue fee   148   Plant issue fee   Plant issue fee	101 710	201	395	Utility filing fee		<u>0</u>						
140   110   240   55   Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application   141   150   214   75   Provisional filing fee   141   1210   241   660   Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application   141   1210   242   660   Utility issue fee   143   430   243   225   Design issue fee   144   580   244   335   Plant issue fee   144   580   249   356   Plant issue fee   144   580   249   356   Plant issue fee   144   580   249   356   Plant issue fee   144   580   249   249   240					:		138	1,510	138	1,510		
SUBTOTAL (1)   SUBT				•	е		140	110	240	55		
2. CLAIMS    142   1210   242   660   Utility issue fee (or reissue)	114 150	214	75	Provisional filing	j fee		141	1210	241	660	•	
2. CLAIMS    Fee from   Fee Paid			•	SUBTOTAL (1)		(\$) <u>0</u>	142	1210	242	660		
Total Claims   13   - 20 = 0   0     X   18   = 0   0     126   240   126   240   Submission of Information   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0							143	430	243	225	Design issue fee	
Total Claims   13   - 20 = 0	2. CLA	AIMS					ļ.					
Total Claims   13 - 20 = 0	-		Evtra			Fee Paid						
Claims  Multiple Dependent Claims  X =	Total Claims	<u>13</u> - 20 =			=	<u>o</u>	123	50	123	50	•	
Multiple Dependent Claims X = assignment per property (times number of properties)  Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Pee Fee Pee State Independent claims in excess of 3  146 690 246 395 Filling a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  140 130 204 135 Multiple dependent claim  146 690 249 395 Filling Fee Paid		<u>3</u> - 3=	<u>o</u>	X <u>78</u>	=	ō	126	240	126	240		ō
Large Entity  Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Pee Description  146 690 246 395 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))  103 18 203 11 Claims in excess of 20  102 78 202 41 Independent claims in excess of 3  104 130 204 135 Multiple dependent experience of a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(b))  105 The fee (specify)  106 The fee (specify)  107 The fee (specify)  108 The fee Subtotal (3) (\$) 0  109 The fee (specify)  109 The fee (specify)  110 22 210 11 Reissue claims in excess of of 20 and over original patent  120 The fee (specify)  131 The fee (specify)  132 The fee (specify)  133 The fee (specify)  146 690 246 395 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))  149 690 249 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))  150 The fee (specify)	Multiple Depe	endent Claims		x	=		581	40	581	40		<del></del>
Code   (\$)   Code   (\$)   Code   (\$)			Entity									
102 78 202 41 Independent claims in excess of 3  104 130 204 135 Multiple dependent claim  109 78 209 41 Reissue independent claim  110 22 210 11 Reissue claims in excess of of 20 and over original patent  110 22 110 11 Reissue claims in excess of of 20 and over original patent  110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 11				Fee Descriptio	n		146	690	246	395		
102   78   202   41   Independent claims in excess of 3   Other fee (specify)   Other	103 18	203	11	Claims in exces	s of 20		149	690	249	395		
109 78 209 41 Reissue independent claim 109 22 210 11 Reissue claims over original patent  **Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid**	102 78	202	41		ims in							
109 78 209 41 Reissue independent claims over original patent  110 22 210 11 Reissue claims in excess of of 20 and over original patent  * Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid  * Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid	104 130	204	135	Multiple depend	ent claim			• •	• •			
110 22 210 11 Reissue claims in excess of of 20 and over original patent * Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid	109 78	209	41				Otnei	ree (spec	агу)	—	SUBTOTAL (3)	(\$) <u>o</u>
• '	110 22 210 11 Reissue claims in excess of			* Re	duced	by Bas	ic Filin	g Fee Paid				
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0			SUBTO		•	0						

SUBMITTED BY						Complete (if applicable)
Typed or Printed Name	Charles Brantley	Reg. N	umber	38,08	6	
Signature	Charles Brantley	Date	10/30		Deposit Acct. User ID	13-3092, ORDER NO. 94-0302.02

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Randhir Thakur, Ravi Iyer, and Howard Rhodes

Serial No.: 09/654,093

Filed: August 31, 2000

For: A METHOD TO AVOID THRESHOLD VOLTAGE SHIFT

IN THICKER DIELECTRIC FILMS

**Assistant Commissioner for Patents** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

Group Art Unit:

Examiner:

§

§

Atty. Docket: 94-0302.02

11-13-00 Payton



# INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Certificate of Mailing (37 C.F.R.§ 1.8)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents,

Inventor

Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date below;

11-1-0

Signature

Dear Sir:

In compliance with the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56, Applicants respectfully request that this Information Disclosure Statement be entered and that the references listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 be considered by the Examiner and made of record. Copies of the listed references are enclosed for the convenience of the Examiner.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(b), this Information Disclosure Statement is not to be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that no other possible material information as defined in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(a) exists.

The following references are submitted for the Examiner's review:

U.S. Patent No.

#### U.S. Patents

6,033,979	03/07/00	Endo
5,585,308	12/17/96	Sardella
5,552,343	09/03/96	Hsu
5,531,183	07/02/96	Sivaramakrishnam et al.
5,474,955	12/12/95	Thakur
5,399,532	03/21/95	Lee et al.
5,384,288	01/24/95	Ying
5,372,974	12/13/94	Doan et al.
5,260,232	11/09/93	Muroyama et al.
5,236,862	08/17/93	Pfiester et al.
5,196,907	03/23/93	Birkle et al.

Issue Date

NOV -9 2000 TC 2800 MAIL ROOM

5,166,088	11/24/92	Ueda et al.	
5,164,330	11/17/92	Davis et al.	
5,077,238	12/31/91	Fujii et al.	
4,992,840	02/12/91	Haddad et al.	
4,917,759	04/17/90	Fisher et al.	
4,879,257	11/07/89	Patrick	
4,849,797	07/18/89	Ukai et al.	
4,824,802	04/25/89	Brown et al.	
4,708,767	11/24/87	Bril	
3,925,572	12/09/75	Naber	

## Foreign Patents

04-326732	11/16/92	Japan	Suzuki et al.
04-067632	03/03/92	Japan	Hashimoto
03-280543	12/11/91	Japan	Terai et al.
01-047055	02/21/89	Japan	Horii

#### Other References

S. Wolf, "Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era", Vol. II, pp. 45, 51, 194-99, 370, 382, 387-88, June, 1990.

Butler, John, Greg Allen, Anthony Hall, and Romuald Nowak, "Electrical Characterization of Doped and Undoped PECVD TEOS Oxides", presented at VMIC, June 1990.

Applicants understand that no fee or certification is required for the submission and consideration of this information at this time.

If there are any matters which may be resolved or clarified through telephone interview, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at the number indicated.

A Form PTO-1449 is enclosed herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 11/1/03

Charles Brantley

Reg. No. 38,086 Micron Technology, Inc.

8000 S. Federal Way Boise, ID 83716-9632

(208) 368-4557

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS